2022-2023 University of Florida College of Education Summary of Graduate and Employer Satisfaction Surveys

| Surveys Sent | Employer | Graduate | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Surveys Sent from FLDOE 1st year Employment Database | 104 | 104 | |
| Returned Surveys | 40 (38%) | 30 (29%) | |

| DOE | PROGRAM COMPLETED Initial Teacher Preparation (ITP) Programs | Employer Response | | Graduate Response | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| | FEAP 1: Instructional Design and Planning | Mean Std Deviation | Range | Mean Std Deviation | Range |
| 1. | Align instruction with state-adopted standards at the appropriate level of rigor. (FEAP 1a) | 4.10 (1.03) | 1 - 5 | 4.37 (0.89) | 1 - 5 |
| 2. | Sequence lessons and concepts to ensure coherence and required prior knowledge. (FEAP 1b) | 4.08 (1.05) | 2 - 5 | 4.23 (0.73) | 2 - 5 |
| 3. | Design instruction for students to achieve mastery. (FEAP 1c) | 4.03 (1.17) | 3 - 5 | 4.23 (0.68) | 3 - 5 |
| 4. | Select appropriate formative assessments to monitor learning. (FEAP 1d) | 3.98 (1.14) | 2 - 5 | 4.23 (0.97) | 2 - 5 |
| 5. | Use diagnostic student data to plan lessons. (FEAP 1e) | 3.95 (1.13) | 1 - 5 | 3.93 (1.14) | 1 - 5 |
| 6. | Develop learning experiences that require students to demonstrate a variety of applicable skills and competencies. (FEAP 1f) | 4.05 (1.05) | 3 - 5 | 4.23 (0.63) | 3 - 5 |
| | FEAP 2: The Learning Environment | | | | |
| 7. | Organize, allocate and manage the resources of time, space, and attention. (FEAP 2a) | 4.13 (1.16) | 1 - 5 | 3.70 (1.24) | 1 - 5 |
| 8. | Manage individual and class behaviors through a well-planned management system. (FEAP 2b) | 3.68 (1.46) | 1 - 5 | 3.37 (1.47) | 1 - 5 |
| 9. | Convey high expectations to all students. (FEAP 2c) | 3.95 (1.40) | 1 - 5 | 4.27 (0.91) | 1 - 5 |
| 10. | Respect students' cultural, linguistic, & family background. (FEAP 2d) | 4.38 (0.98) | 1 - 5 | 4.50 (0.97) | 1 - 5 |
| 11. | Model clear, acceptable oral and written communication skill. (FEAP 2e) | 4.38 (1.05) | 3 - 5 | 4.43 (0.68) | 3 - 5 |
| 12. | Maintain a climate of openness, inquiry, fairness & support. (FEAP 2f) | 4.35 (1.03) | 2 - 5 | 4.53 (0.73) | 2 - 5 |
| 13. | Integrate current information and communication technologies. (FEAP 2g) | 4.38 (0.90) | 1 - 5 | 4.27 (0.91) | 1 - 5 |
| 14. | Adapt the learning environment to accommodate the differing needs and diversity of students. (FEAP 2h) | 4.10 (1.19) | 2 - 5 | 4.23 (0.90) | 2 - 5 |
| 15. | Utilize current and emerging assistive technologies that enable students to participate in high-quality communication interactions and achieve theireducational goals. (FEAP 2i) | 4.13 (0.99) | 1 - 5 | 3.93 (1.11) | 1 - 5 |
| | FEAP 3: Instructional Delivery and Facilitation | | | | |
| 16. | Deliver engaging and challenging lessons. (FEAP 3a) | 3.97 (1.18) | 1 - 5 | 4.37 (0.61) | 3 - 5 |
| 17. | Deepen and enrich students' understandings through content literacy strategies, verbalization of thought and application of the subject matter. (FEAP 3b) | 3.88 (1.26) | 1 - 5 | 4.30 (0.60) | 3 - 5 |
| 18. | Identify gaps in students' subject matter knowledge. (FEAP 3c) | 3.88 (1.28) | 1 - 5 | 4.00 (0.91) | 2 - 5 |
| 19. | Modify instruction to respond to preconceptions or misconceptions. (FEAP 3d) | 3.90 (1.22) | 1 - 5 | 4.07 (0.69) | 3 - 5 |
| 20. | Relate and integrate the subject matter with other disciplines and life experiences. (FEAP 3e) | 3.88 (1.20) | 1 - 5 | 4.20 (0.71) | 2 - 5 |
| 21. | Employ high order questioning techniques. (FEAP 3f) | 3.68 (1.25) | 1 - 5 | 4.27 (0.87) | 2 - 5 |
| 22. | Apply varied instructional strategies and resources, including appropriate technology, to provide comprehensible instruction, and to teach for student understanding. (FEAP 3g) | 3.98 (1.25) | 1 - 5 | 4.17 (0.75) | 3 - 5 |
| 23. | Differentiate instruction based on assessment of student learning needs and recognition of individual differences in student. (FEAP 3h) | 3.80 (1.24) | 1 - 5 | 4.20 (0.89) | 2 - 5 |
| 24. | Support, encourage, and provide immediate and specific feedback to students to promote student achievement. (FEAP 3i) | 3.95 (1.22) | 1 - 5 | 4.43 (0.82) | 2 - 5 |

2022-2023 University of Florida College of Education Summary of Graduate and Employer Satisfaction Surveys

| | outlinary of Graduate and Employer of | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| 25. | Utilize student feedback to monitor instructional needs and to adjust instruction. (FEAP 3j) | 3.85 (1.27) | 1 - 5 | 4.17 (0.83) | 2 - 5 |
| | FEAP 4: Assessment | , | | , , | |
| | Analyze and apply data from multiple assessments to diagnose students' | 3.85 | | 4.15 | |
| 26. | learning needs and inform instruction based on those needs. (FEAP 4a) | (1.20) | 1 - 5 | (1.08) | 1 - 5 |
| 27. | Design and align formative and summative assessments that match | 3.77 | 1 - 5 | 4.24 | 2 - 5 |
| | learning objectives and lead to mastery. (FEAP 4b) Use a variety of assessment tools to monitor student progress, | (1.22) 3.92 | | (0.78) 4.08 | |
| 28. | achievement, and learning gains. (FEAP 4c) | (1.31) | 1 - 5 | (0.93) | 1 - 5 |
| 29. | Modify assessments and testing conditions to accommodate learning | 3.79 | 1 - 5 | 3.92 | 1 - 5 |
| 20. | styles and varying levels of knowledge. (FEAP 4d) | (1.20) | 1 - 0 | (0.98) | 1 - 0 |
| 30. | Share the importance of outcomes of student assessment data with the students and the students' parent/caregiver(s). (FEAP 4e) | 3.87 (1.22) | 1 - 5 | 3.92 (1.08) | 2 - 5 |
| 31. | Apply technology to organize and integrate assessment information. (FEAP 4f) | 3.95 (1.07) | 1 - 5 | 3.88 (1.28) | 1 - 5 |
| | FEAP 5: Continuous Professional Development | , , , | • | , , | |
| | Design purposeful professional goals to strengthen the effectiveness of | 4.00 | | 4.19 | |
| 32. | instruction based on students' needs. (FEAP 5a) | (1.24) | 1 - 5 | (0.85) | 1 - 5 |
| 33. | Examine and use data-informed research to improve instruction and | 3.74 | 1 - 5 | 4.04 | 1 - 5 |
| | student achievement. (FEAP 5b) | (1.23) | | (0.96) | |
| 34. | Use a variety of data independently and in collaboration w/ colleagues to evaluate learning outcomes and adjust planning and continuously | 3.82 | 1 - 5 | 3.96 | 1 - 5 |
| J 4 . | improve effectiveness of the lessons. (FEAP 5c) | (1.30) | 1 - 3 | (1.22) | 1-3 |
| | Collaborate with the home, school and larger community to foster | 2.00 | | 2.00 | |
| 35. | communication and to support learning and continuous improvement. | 3.92 (1.16) | 1 - 5 | 3.96 (1.00) | 2 - 5 |
| | (FEAP 5d) | (1.10) | | (1.00) | |
| 26 | Engage in targeted professional growth opportunities and reflective | 3.95 | 4 5 | 4.12 | 2 5 |
| 36. | practices, both independently and in collaboration with colleagues. (FEAP 5e) | (1.30) | 1 - 5 | (0.78) | 3 - 5 |
| | Implement knowledge and skills learned in professional development in | 3.97 | | 4.35 | |
| 37. | the teaching and learning process. (FEAP 5f) | (1.33) | 1 - 5 | (0.69) | 3 - 5 |
| | FEAP 6: Professional Responsibility and Conduct | | | | |
| 20 | Adhere to the Code of Ethics and Principles of Professional Conduct of | 4.74 | 2 5 | 4.88 | 4 5 |
| 38. | the Education Profession of Florida. (FEAP 6a) | (0.64) | 2 - 5 | (0.33) | 4 - 5 |
| | Professional Competencies | | | | |
| 1. | Write and speak in a logical and understandable style with appropriate | 4.69 | 3 - 5 | 4.59 | 3 - 5 |
| 1. | grammar and sentence structure. | (0.57) | 3 - 3 | (0.57) | 3 - 3 |
| 2. | Recognizes signs of student's difficulty with the reading process and apply | 4.00 | 2 - 5 | 4.52 | 3 - 5 |
| | appropriate measures to improve students' reading performance. | (1.15) | | (0.58) | |
| 3. | Recognize signs of student's difficulty with computational process and | 3.92 | 2 5 | 4.12 | 2 - 5 |
| ა. | apply appropriate measures to improve student's <u>computational</u> performance. | (1.15) | 2 - 5 | (0.82) | 2-5 |
| | Demonstrates knowledge and understanding of the Next Generation | 4.05 | | 4.48 | |
| 4. | Sunshine State Standards. | (1.09) | 2 - 5 | (0.75) | 3 - 5 |
| 5. | Assesses and meets the linguistic and cultural needs of English language | 4.08 | 1 - 5 | 4.11 | 3 - 5 |
| Э. | learners at all English proficiency levels. | (1.11) | 1-5 | (0.75) | 3-5 |
| | Program Satisfaction | | | | |
| | | | | 4.30 | 0.5 |
| 1. | Overall quality of my educator preparation program. | | | (0.91) | 2 - 5 |
| 2. | Feedback and guidance provided by faculty in my preparation program. | | | 4.22 (1.01) | 2 - 5 |
| 3. | Opportunities provided to teach and interact with students of diverse | | | 4.44 | 2 5 |
| ა. | backgrounds and abilities in my preparation program. | | | (0.85) | 2 - 5 |
| 4. | Opportunities provided during my internship to practice and demonstrate | | | 4.56 | 2 - 5 |
| | newly learned skills. | | | (0.85) | |
| 5. | Quality of feedback provided during my internship by my university supervisor. | | | 4.59 (0.80) | 2 - 5 |
| | Opportunities to learn and use effective practices that positively impact | | | 4.46 | |
| 6. | student learning in my preparation program. | | | (0.90) | 2 - 5 |
| | | | | , , | |

2022-2023 University of Florida College of Education

Summary of Graduate and Employer Satisfaction Surveys

ESOL

| | On the transfer of the Franklin of the Frankli | Yes | No | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|--|
| 1. | Graduates currently working with English language learners? | 20 (74%) | 7 (26%) | |
| | Eligible for rehire | | | |
| | Given the availability of resources, would this person be eligible to be | Yes | No | |
| 1. | rehired | 19 (100%) | 0 (0%) | |
| | Impact on Student Learning | | | |
| | essments considered by EMPLOYERS in making the determination of | | | |
| whet | ther the graduate "achieved measurable learning gains for all students." | Percentage | | |
| Class | sroom observation data | 97 | 7% | |
| Curri | culum based assessments | | 2% | |
| Distri | ct benchmark assessments | | 2% | |
| End | of course exams | | 3% | |
| | review feedback | | % | |
| | post evaluations of interventions | | 9% | |
| | ipal or other administrator feedback | 82% | | |
| | ress Monitoring Assessments | | ! % | |
| Proje | | | 5% | |
| | dardized test data | | 9% | |
| | ent grades | | % | |
| Othe | r | 8% | | |
| Sour | ces of data used by GRADUATES when evaluating their impact on | | | |
| | ent learning/achievement as a teacher, counselor, or school | Percentage | | |
| | chologist. | 1 6106 | maye | |
| Assig | gnment grades | 84% | | |
| | nment of IEP goals | 54% | | |
| Class | sroom observation data | 92% | | |
| Curri | culum based or progress monitoring assessments | 92% | | |
| Distri | ct benchmark assessments | 65% | | |
| End | of course exams | 38% | | |
| Peer review feedback | | 31% | | |
| Pre/F | Post evaluations of interventions | 50% | | |
| | ipal or other administrator feedback | 65% | | |
| | ress reports | 62% | | |
| Proje | | 19% | | |
| | dardized test data | 81% | | |
| | ent portfolios | 31% | | |
| Student /Teacher/Parent feedback | | 85% | | |
| Othe | r | 0% | | |